Planning Services

COMMITTEE REPORT

APPEAL UPDATE (EASINGTON AREA OFFICE)

1. APPEALS RECEIVED:

Appeal by Mr J Collard Site at Plot 2, Littlethorpe Farm. Littlethorpe Planning Reference PL/5/2011/0208

An appeal has been lodged against the Council's refusal for the erection of a detached dwelling and private garage at the above site.

It was considered by the Authority that the proposed dwelling by reason of its design and external materials would not reflect the character or appearance of adjacent existing and approved buildings, resulting in an unduly prominent and incongruous development that would be out of character with this part of the village.

The appeal is to be dealt with via written representations and members will be informed of the decision in due course.

Recommendation:

That the report be noted.

Appeal by Mr P Watson Site at 118 Wordsworth Avenue, Wheatley Hill, Durham, DH6 3RE Planning Reference PL/5/2011/0249

An appeal has been lodged against the Council's refusal for the retrospective erection of a 1.53m high boundary fence at the above site.

It was considered by the Authority that the fencing, due to its height, location, design and appearance, formed a prominent and visually intrusive feature, adversely affecting the character and appearance of the street scene.

The appeal is to be dealt with via written representations and members will be informed of the decision in due course.

Recommendation:

That the report be noted.

2. DECISIONS RECEIVED: Appeal by Mr P Hewitson Site at 9 Milton Lane, Easington, Co. Durham, SR8 3DR Planning Reference- PL/5/2010/0418

An appeal was lodged against the Council's refusal of the erection of a front extension and alteration to front elevation to create an additional staircase.

The appeal was dealt with via written representations and following their submission and consideration the Planning Inspector agreed with the Authority and concluded that the proposed alteration to allow the creation of the staircase would be unduly prominent and incongruous, thus detracting from the front elevation of the property.

The appeal was therefore dismissed.

Recommendation:

That the report be noted.